arXiv:1109.5263v1 [math.NT] 24 Sep 2011

On the cohomology of the Lubin-Tate curve of level 2 and the Lusztig theory over finite rings

September 27, 2011

Tetsushi Ito, Yoichi Mieda and Takahiro Tsushima

Abstract

In [IMT], we study the étale cohomology group W of irreducible components, with each having an affine model $X^q+X=\xi Y^{q+1}+c$ with $\xi \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ and $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$, in the stable reduction of the Lubin-Tate curve $\mathcal{X}(\pi^2)$. Then, W is considered as a $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi^2)$ -representation and relates to unramified cuspidal representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ of level 1. In this paper, we study a relationship between W and the étale cohomology groups of some *Lusztig varieties* costructed in [Lus] and [Lus2].

1 Introduction

Let F be a non-archimedean local field with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_F$, uniformizer π and residue field $\mathbb{F}_q$ of characteristic $p>0$. We write $\mathbf{F}$ for an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $\bar{F}$ denote an algebraic closure of F and $\mathbf{C}$ its completion. Roughly speaking, the Lubin-Tate curve $\mathcal{X}(\pi^n)$ is the generic fiber of the deformation space of a formal $\mathcal{O}_F$-module of height 2 over $\mathbf{F}$ equipped with the Drinfeld level π^n-structure. Namely, $\mathcal{X}(\pi^n)$ is a rigid analytic curve. In [IMT], we compute defining equations of irreducible components in the stable reduction of the Lubin-Tate curve $\mathcal{X}(\pi^2)$, and study the étale cohomology group of some components as a representation of a product of $G_2^F := \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi^2)$, the central division algebra $\mathcal{O}_D^\times$ over F of invariant $1/2$, and the inertia group I_F. For $n \geq 1$, let U_D^n be open compact subgroups of $\mathcal{O}_D^\times$. We put $\mathcal{O}_n^\times := \mathcal{O}_D^\times/U_D^n$ and $\mathbf{G} := G_2^F \times \mathcal{O}_3^\times \times I_F$.

We set

$$\mathfrak{S}_{00}^{\mathbb{F}_q^\times} := \{(x_0,y_0) \in \mathbf{F}^2 \mid \xi := x_0^q y_0 - x_0 y_0^q \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times,\ x_0^{q^2-1} = y_0^{q^2-1} = -1\}.$$

Then, we have $|\mathfrak{S}_{00}^{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}| = |\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)| = q(q-1)(q^2-1)$. For $i=(x_0,y_0) \in \mathfrak{S}_{00}^{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$, let X_i denote the smooth compactification of an affine curve $X^{q^2}-X=\xi(Y^{q(q+1)}-Y^{q+1})$. Each curve X_i has q connected components and each component with genus $q(q-1)/2$ has an affine model $X^q+X=\xi Y^{q+1}+c$ with some $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$. In the stable reduction of $\mathcal{X}(\pi^2)$, the components $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathfrak{S}_{00}^{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}}$ appear, which is proved in [IMT]. We set

$$W := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathfrak{S}_{00}^{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} H^1(X_i, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \subset H^1(\mathcal{X}(\pi^2)_{\mathbf{C}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$$

with a prime number $l \neq p$. Apriori, the prodcut group $\mathbf{G}$ acts on the curve $\mathcal{X}(\pi^2)_{\mathbf{C}}$ on the right, and hence on the étale cohomology group $H^1(\mathcal{X}(\pi^2)_{\mathbf{C}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ on the left. See [Ca, Section 1] for more details. Then, the subspace W is stable under the $\mathbf{G}$-action. In [IMT, 7.2], we analyze W as a $\mathbf{G}$-representation. Let E/F denote the unramified quadratic extension. Canonically, the group $\mathcal{O}_3^\times$ contains $\Gamma := (\mathcal{O}_E/\pi^2)^\times$ as a subgroup. For any finite extension L/F, let W_L denote the Weil group of L and I_L the inertia subgroup of W_L. Then, we have the Artin reciprocity map $\mathbf{a}_L : W_L^{\mathrm{ab}} \xrightarrow{\sim} L^\times$ normalized such that the geometric Frobenius goes to a prime element under this map. We have the restriction $\mathbf{a}_L : I_L^{\mathrm{ab}} \to \mathcal{O}_L^\times$. Hence, in particular, the map $\mathbf{a}_E$ induces the following map $I_F \to I_F^{\mathrm{ab}} \simeq I_E^{\mathrm{ab}} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_E|_{I_E^{\mathrm{ab}}}} \mathcal{O}_E^\times \to \Gamma$, which we denote by $\mathbf{a}_E$. Then, the inertia group I_F acts on W by factoring through the map $\mathbf{a}_E : I_F \to \Gamma$. Hence, the restriction $W|_{G_2^F \times \{1\} \times I_F}$ can be considered as a $G_2^F \times \Gamma$-representation, which we denote by W_1. Let W_2 denote the restriction $W|_{G_2^F \times \Gamma \times \{1\}}$.

Let G be a connected reductive algebraic group over $\mathbf{F}$ with a given $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational structure with associated Frobenius morphism $F : G \to G$. For $n \geq 1$, in [Lus] and [Lus2], G. Lusztig constructs an affine algebraic variety over $\mathbf{F}$, with $G(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi^n)$-action and some torus $T(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi^n)$-action, whose étale cohomology group realizes some irreducible representations of $G(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi^n)$. These representations are attached to characters of $T(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi^n)$ in general position. The works [Lus] and [Lus2] are generalizations of the Deligne-Lusztig theory [DL] over finite fields to finite rings $\mathcal{O}_F/\pi^n$. In this paper, we call the variety over $\mathbf{F}$ *the Lusztig variety for* $G(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi^n)$. Specialized to a case $S_2^F := \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi^2)$, Lusztig gives an explicit description of the Lusztig variety of dimension 2 for S_2^F and studies its cohomology groups in [Lus, Section 3]. In the cohomology groups, all *unramified* representations, in a sense of [Sha, p.37], occur each one with multiplicity 2. Hence, we call the variety the unramified Lusztig surface for S_2^F.

In this paper, we will study the affine unramified Lusztig surface $\tilde{X}$ for G_2^F in the same way as in [Lus, Section 3]. The surface $\tilde{X}$ admits an action of a product group $G_2^F \times \Gamma$. Then, we investigate the étale cohomology group $H_c^2(\tilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ as a $G_2^F \times \Gamma$-representation in the same way as in loc. cit. As a result, we show that a direct sum ρ_{DL} of all *cuspidal* or *unramifed* representations of G_2^F, in a sense of [Sta], appears as a G_2^F-subrepresentation of $H_c^2(\tilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$. By using the explicit description of $H_c^2(\tilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ as a G_2^F-representation and the analysis of W in [IMT], we prove that the $G_2^F \times \Gamma$-representations W_1 and W_2 mentioned above are written with respect to ρ_{DL}. See Proposition 4.1 for precise statements. In other words, the representation W gives another cohomological construction for unramfied irreducible representations of G_2^F. For S_2^F, we obtain the same things as G_2^F. See Remarks 3.4 and 4.3 for more details. In section 5, we write down the Lusztig curve X_D for $\mathcal{O}_3^\times$ and study its cohomology group H_c^1 as a $\mathcal{O}_3^\times \times \Gamma$-representation. The Lusztig variety for the group of reduced norm one in a central division algebra of invariant $1/n$ over F is explicitly described in [Lus, Section 2]. We consider the product $\mathbf{X} := \tilde{X} \times X_D$. Then, this variety $\mathbf{X}$ admits an action of $G_2^F \times \mathcal{O}_3^\times \times \Gamma$. Hence, the étale cohomology group $H_c^3(\mathbf{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ is considered as a $\mathbf{G}$-representation through a surjective map $\mathbf{G} \to G_2^F \times \mathcal{O}_3^\times \times \Gamma$. As a result of the analyses of $H_c^1(X_D, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ as a $\mathcal{O}_3^\times \times \Gamma$-representation in Lemma 5.1 and $H_c^2(\tilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ as a $G_2^F \times \Gamma$-representation in Proposition 3.1, we show that W is contained in $H_c^3(\mathbf{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ as a $\mathbf{G}$-subrepresentation in Theorem 5.2. See also [Y] for a connection between the non-abelian Lubin-Tate theory of level 1 and the Deligne-Lusztig theory over finite fields.

Notation . We fix some notations used throughout this paper. Let F be a non-archimedean local field with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_F$, uniformizer π and residue field $\mathbb{F}_q$ of characteristic $p > 0$. We identify $(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi^2)^\times$ with $\mathbb{F}_q^\times \times \mathbb{F}_q$ by $a_0 + a_1\pi \mapsto (a_0, (a_1/a_0))$. Let E/F be the unramified quadratic extension and $\mathcal{O}_E$ the ring of integers. Of course, the residue field of E is equal to $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. Let $\tau \in \mathrm{Gal}(E/F)$ be a non-trivial element. Let $\mathbf{F}$ denote an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_q$. We set $G_n^F := \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi^n)$ and $S_n^F := \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi^n)$ for $n \geq 1$. Let D be the central division algebra over F of invariant $1/2$. Let $\mathcal{O}_D$ denote the ring of integers of D, and φ a prime element of D

such that $\varphi^2 = \pi$. Then, we have $\mathcal{O}_D = \mathcal{O}_E \oplus \varphi\mathcal{O}_E$ with $\varphi a = a^\tau \varphi$ for $a \in \mathcal{O}_E$. Furthermore, for $n \geq 1$, let U_D^n denote the open compact subgroups $1 + (\varphi^n)$ of $D^\times$. We set $\mathcal{O}_n^\times := \mathcal{O}_D^\times/U_D^n$. Let $\mathrm{Nrd}_{D/F} : D^\times \to F^\times$ denote the reduced norm of $D^\times$. For any finite extension L/F, let W_L denote the Weil group of L and I_L the inertia subgroup of W_L. Then, we have the Artin reciprocity map $\mathbf{a}_L : W_L^{\mathrm{ab}} \xrightarrow{\sim} L^\times$ normalized such that the geometric Frobenius goes to a prime element under this map. Then, we have the restriction $\mathbf{a}_L : I_L^{\mathrm{ab}} \to \mathcal{O}_L^\times$. For a finite extension L/K, we have $\mathbf{a}_K = \mathrm{Nr}_{L/K} \circ \mathbf{a}_L$. If X is an affine algebraic variety over $\mathbf{F}$ and $r \geq 1$, we set $X_r := X(\mathbf{F}[[\pi]]/(\pi^r))$. For a prime number $l \neq p$ and a finite abelian group M, we write $M^\vee$ for a character group $\mathrm{Hom}(M, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l^\times)$. For a representatin π of a finite group G over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$, we write $\pi^\vee$ for its dual. For any variety $Y/\mathbf{F}$ with an action of a finite abelian group and any character w of that finite group, let $H_c^j(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)_w$ denote the subspace of $H_c^j(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ on which the finite group acts according to w.

2 Cuspidal representation of G_2^F

In this section, we briefly recall definitions of cuspidal representation of G_2^F, and strongly primitive character of a torus $\Gamma := (\mathcal{O}_E/\pi^2)^\times$ in Definitions 2.1 and 2.2, which are due to [AOPS, 5.1 and 5.2], [Onn, 6.2], [Sta, p.2835] and [Sta2]. A cuspidal representation of G_2^F has degree $q(q-1)$, and there exist $q(q-1)(q^2-1)/2$ cuspidal representations up to equivalence. These cuspidal representations are parametrized by strongly primitive characters of a maximal torus $\Gamma \subset G_2^F$. See Remark 2.3.3 for more details.

First, we prepare some notations. Let $m : G_2^F \to G_1^F$ be the canonical surjection. We write $\bar{g}$ for the image of $g \in G_2^F$ by the map m. We set $N := \mathrm{Ker}\ m$. Then, the group N is isomorphic to an abelian group $\mathrm{M}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$. All irreducible representations of G_2^F occur as an irreducible component of the induced representation $\mathrm{Ind}_N^{G_2^F}(\Phi)$ with some character $\Phi \in N^\vee$. We fix a non-trivial character $\eta \in \mathbb{F}_q^\vee$. Then, we have the following isomorphism

$$\mathrm{M}_2(\mathbb{F}_q) \xrightarrow{\sim} N^\vee \ ; \ \beta \mapsto (\eta_\beta : h \mapsto \eta(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{M}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)/\mathbb{F}_q}(\beta\pi^{-1}(h-1)))). \tag{2.1}$$

For example, see [Sta2, Section 2] for more details. The group G_2^F acts on $N^\vee$ by conjugation. For $\Phi \in N^\vee$, let $T(\Phi)$ denote the centralizer of Φ in G_2^F. Then, $T(\Phi)$ is a torus of G_2^F. Let $N(\Phi) := T(\Phi)N$. Then, $N(\Phi)$ is the stabilizer of Φ in G_2^F. By the Clifford theory, any irreducible representation U of $N(\Phi)$ such that $\Phi \subset U|_N$ is of the form $\Psi_{\psi,\Phi}$:

$$\Psi_{\psi,\Phi}(tn) = \psi(t)\Phi(n)$$

for $t \in T(\Phi), n \in N$ where $\psi \in T(\Phi)^\vee$ and satisfies $\psi|_{T(\Phi)\cap N} = \Phi|_{T(\Phi)\cap N}$. See [Sta2, Theorem 2.1] for more details.

Definition 2.1. Let ρ be an irreducible representation of G_2^F. We assume that ρ does not factor through G_1^F. We call ρ *cuspidal* if ρ is equivalent to $\mathrm{Ind}_{N(\Phi)}^{G_2^F}(\Psi_{\psi,\Phi})$ with $\Phi = \eta_\beta$ in (2.1), and β is conjugate to the following

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\Delta & s \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.2}$$

where $X^2 - sX + \Delta$ is an irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$.

We also call cuspidal representation of G_2^F *unramified.*

Definition 2.2. ([AOPS, 5.1]) We call a character ψ of $\Gamma = (\mathcal{O}_E/\pi^2)^\times$ *strongly primitive* if the restriction of ψ to a subgroup $\mathbb{F}_{q^2} \simeq \mathrm{Ker}\ (\Gamma \to (\mathcal{O}_E/\pi)^\times)$ does not factor through the trace map $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}/\mathbb{F}_q} : \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \to \mathbb{F}_q$.

Remark 2.3. 1. For SL_2, a similar definition to Definition 2.1 is found in [Sha, p.37],
2. The dimension of the cuspidal representation of G_2^F is equal to $q(q-1)$, because we have $[G_2^F : N(\Phi)] = q(q-1)$ if Φ is conjugate to (2.2).
3. The definition 2.1 of cuspidal representation of G_2^F above is equivalent to a definition of *strongly cuspidal* representation in [Onn] or [AOPS]. Descriptions of strongly cuspidal representations are given in [Onn, 6.2] and [AOPS, 5.2]. Then, as stated in [AOPS, Theorem B], there is a canonical bijective correspondence between strongly cuspidal representations of G_2^F and $\mathrm{Gal}(E/F)$-orbits of strongly primitive characters of Γ. Therefore, the number of cuspidal representations matches the number of Galois orbits of strongly primitive characters of Γ. Hence, there exist $q(q-1)(q^2-1)/2$ cuspidal representations up to equivalence, which is pointed out in [Onn, 6.2].

3 Unramified Lusztig surface for G_2^F and its cohomology H_c^2

In this section, we recall the Lusztig theory in [Lus] and [Lus2], specialized to the case G_2^F. The papers [Lus] and [Lus2] are generalizations of the Deligne-Lusztig theory over a finite field to finite rings $\mathcal{O}_F/\pi^r$ with $r \geq 2$. Let G be a reductive connected algebraic group over $\mathbf{F}$ with associated Frobenius map $F : G \to G$ and T is a maximal torus of G. Roughly speaking, the Lusztig variety for $G(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi^r)$ means some variety over $\mathbf{F}$ with $G(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi^r) \times T(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi^r)$-action, and its ℓ-adic cohomology groups give a correspondence between characters of $T(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi^r)$ in general position and some irreducible representations of $G(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi^r)$. A concrete description of the Lusztig surface for S_2^F is given in [Lus, section 3]. In this section, in the same way as in loc. cit., we explicitly describe the Lusztig surface for G_2^F and the étale cohomology group H_c^2 of it. The cohomology group realizes all unramifed or cuspidal representations of G_2^F introduced in Definition 2.1. See Proposition 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 for more details. Arguments in this section are almost same as the ones in loc. cit.

In the following, we assume that p is odd and

$$\mathrm{char}\ F = p > 0,\ \text{or},\ \mathrm{char}\ F = 0 \text{ and } e_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p} \geq 2. \tag{3.1}$$

Let F^{ur} be the maximal unramified extension of F in a fixed algebraic closure of F. $A := \mathcal{O}_{F^{\mathrm{ur}}}/(\pi^2)$. Then, by the assumption (3.1), we have $A \simeq \mathbf{F}[[\pi]]/(\pi^2)$. Define $F : A \to A$ by $F(a_0 + a_1\pi) = a_0^q + a_1^q\pi$ where $a_0, a_1 \in \mathbf{F}$. Let V be a 2-dimensional $\mathbf{F}$-vector space with a fixed $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational structure with the Frobenius map $F : V \to V$. Let e, e' be a basis of V. Let $G := \mathrm{GL}(V)$. We identify $G \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F})$ by $g \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ with $eg = ae + ce', e'g = be + de'$. Then, G also has a $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational structure with the Frobenius map $F : G \to G$ such that $F(vg) = F(v)F(g)$ for $g \in G, v \in V$. We put $V_2 := V \otimes_{\mathbf{F}} A$. Clearly, V_2 is a free A-module of rank 2. Let $T := \{\begin{pmatrix} F(a) & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}\} \subset G$ and $U := \{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & c \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\} \subset G$. Let $v := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

We define as follows

$$\tilde{X} := \{g \in G_2 \mid F(g)g^{-1} \in U_2 v\}, \tag{3.2}$$

which we call the *unramified Lusztig surface for* G_2^F. Then, G_2^F acts on $\tilde{X}$ on the *right.* This definition is not equal to the one in [Lus, 3.2]. To obtain a left G_2^F-action on the cohomology group of $\tilde{X}$, in (3.2), we slightly modify the definition in loc. cit. By a direct computation, we check that, for $g \in G_2^F$, the condition $F(g)g^{-1} \in U_2 v$ is equivalent to $g = \begin{pmatrix} -F(\mathbf{x}) & -F(\mathbf{y}) \\ \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{y} \end{pmatrix}$ with some $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in A$ and $\det(g) \in (\mathcal{O}_F/\pi^2)^\times$. We set

$$\Gamma := \{t \in T_2 \mid tF(t) \in T_1^F\}. \tag{3.3}$$

Let $\Gamma \ni t$ act on $\tilde{X}$ by $g \mapsto t^{-1}g$. Then, the group Γ is isomorphic to the following $\{(a_0, a_1) \in \mathbf{F}^2 \mid a_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^{\times},\ a_0^q a_1 + a_0 a_1^q \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$. Hence, Γ is isomorphic to a group $(\mathcal{O}_E/\pi^2)^{\times} \ni a_0 + a_1\pi$ of order $q^2(q^2-1)$. In the following, we fix the identification $\Gamma \simeq (\mathcal{O}_E/\pi^2)^{\times}$. We define a subgroup $A' := \{1 + \pi a_1 \mid a_1^q + a_1 = 0\} \subset \Gamma$. We put

$$\mathfrak{S} := \{x \in V_2 \mid x \wedge F(x) \in (\mathcal{O}_F/\pi^2)^{\times}(e \wedge e')\}$$

with a right G_2^F-action $x \mapsto xg$. The group Γ acts on $\mathfrak{S}$ by the inverse of scalar multiplication. Then, as in [Lus, 3.4], we have an isomorphism $\iota : \tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{S}\ ;\ g \mapsto e'g$, which is compatible with the $G_2^F \times \Gamma$-actions. We write $x = \mathbf{x}e + \mathbf{y}e' \in \mathfrak{S}$ with $\mathbf{x} = x_0 + x_1\pi$ and $\mathbf{y} = y_0 + y_1\pi$. Then, we have the following isomorphism by a direct computation

$$\mathfrak{S} \simeq \{(x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1) \in \mathbf{F}^4 \mid x_0 y_0^q - x_0^q y_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times},\ x_1 y_0^q + x_0 y_1^q - x_0^q y_1 - x_1^q y_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q\}. \tag{3.4}$$

Let $\lambda = a_0 + \pi a_1 \in \Gamma$. Then, λ^{-1} acts on $\mathfrak{S}$ as follows

$$\lambda^{-1} :\ (x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1) \mapsto (a_0x_0, a_1x_0 + a_0x_1, a_0y_0, a_1y_0 + a_0y_1). \tag{3.5}$$

Let $g = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 + a_1\pi & b_0 + b_1\pi \\ c_0 + c_1\pi & d_0 + d_1\pi \end{pmatrix} \in G_2^F$. Then, the element g acts on $\mathfrak{S}$ as follows

$$g :\ (x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1) \mapsto (a_0x_0 + c_0y_0, a_1x_0 + a_0x_1 + c_1y_0 + c_0y_1, b_0x_0 + d_0y_0, b_1x_0 + b_0x_1 + d_0y_1 + d_1y_0). \tag{3.6}$$

Let $l \neq p$ be a prime number. In the following, we analyze the étale cohomology group $H_c^2(\tilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ on the basis of arguments in [Lus, Section 3]. To do so, as in loc. cit., we consider the following fibration to the Deligne-Lusztig curve $\mathfrak{S}_0 := \{(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbf{F}^2 \mid x_0 y_0^q - x_0^q y_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}\}$ for G_1^F

$$\kappa : \mathfrak{S} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_0\ ;\ (x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1) \mapsto (x_0, y_0). \tag{3.7}$$

Then, we have $\kappa(xg) = \kappa(x)\bar{g}$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{S}$ and $g \in G_2^F$. The fiber of κ is stable under the action of A' by (3.5). Let $\mathfrak{S}_{00}^{\mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} \subset \mathfrak{S}_0$ be the closed subset defined by $x_0^{q^2-1} = y_0^{q^2-1} = -1$. The set $\mathfrak{S}_{00}^{\mathbb{F}_q^{\times}}$ is stable under the action of G_1^F, and its action is simply transitive. Hence, the set $\mathfrak{S}_{00}^{\mathbb{F}_q^{\times}}$ consists of $|G_1^F| = q(q-1)(q^2-1)$ closed points. We set $\xi := x_0^q y_0 - x_0 y_0^q$. Furthermore, we put as follows

$$s_0 := y_0^q x_1 - x_0^q y_1,\ s_1 := -\xi^{-1}(x_0y_1 - x_1y_0),\ t_0 := x_0 y_0^{q^2} - x_0^{q^2} y_0.$$

Then, the fiber $\kappa^{-1}((x_0, y_0))$ for $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathfrak{S}_0$ is identified with the following, by a direct computation,

$$\{(s_0, s_1) \in \mathbf{F}^2 \mid s_0^q + s_0 + s_1^q t_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q\}. \tag{3.8}$$

For $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathfrak{S}_0$, $x_0^{q^2-1} = y_0^{q^2-1} = -1$ is equivalent to $t_0 = 0$. First, let $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathfrak{S}_{01} := \mathfrak{S}_0 \backslash \mathfrak{S}_{00}^{\mathbb{F}_q^{\times}}$. Then, we have $t_0 \neq 0$. Hence, by (3.8), the fiber $\kappa^{-1}((x_0, y_0))$ is a disjoint union of q affine lines. Secondly, we have the following identification again by (3.8)

$$\mathfrak{S}_* := \kappa^{-1}(\mathfrak{S}_{00}^{\mathbb{F}_q^{\times}}) \simeq \{(x_1, y_1) \in \mathbf{F}^2 \mid x_1 y_0^q - x_0^q y_1 = s_0\}_{s_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}, (x_0, y_0) \in \mathfrak{S}_{00}^{\mathbb{F}_q^{\times}}} \simeq \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \times \mathfrak{S}_{00}^{\mathbb{F}_q^{\times}}. \tag{3.9}$$

Furthermore, $\mathfrak{S}_*$ is stable under the actions of G_2^F and Γ. We write down the action of G_2^F and Γ on the fiber space $\mathfrak{S}_*$ under the identification (3.9). For $g = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 + a_1\pi & b_0 + b_1\pi \\ c_0 + c_1\pi & d_0 + d_1\pi \end{pmatrix} \in G_2^F$, we set

$$f(i, g) := \{(a_1x_0 + c_1y_0)(b_0x_0 + d_0y_0)^q - (a_0x_0 + c_0y_0)^q(b_1x_0 + d_1y_0)\} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}. \tag{3.10}$$

Note that, if $g \in S_2^F$, we easily check $f(i,g)^q + f(i,g) = 0$. Then, g acts on $s_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ in (3.9) as follows, by (3.6),

$$g : s_0 \mapsto \det(\bar{g}) s_0 + f(i,g). \tag{3.11}$$

On the other hand, by (3.5), an element $\lambda = a_0 + a_1\pi \in \Gamma$ acts on $s_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ as follows

$$\lambda : s_0 \mapsto a_0^{-(q+1)}(s_0 + (a_1/a_0)\xi). \tag{3.12}$$

We have the following long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to H_c^j(\kappa^{-1}(\mathfrak{S}_{01}), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \to H_c^j(\mathfrak{S}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \to H_c^j(\mathfrak{S}_*, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \to \cdots . \tag{3.13}$$

The action of A' on $\kappa^{-1}(\mathfrak{S}_{01})$ preserves each connected component of each fiber of the map $\kappa : \kappa^{-1}(\mathfrak{S}_{01}) \to \mathfrak{S}_{01}$. Hence, A' acts trivially on $H_c^j(\kappa^{-1}(\mathfrak{S}_{01}), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ for all j. Therefore, by (3.13), the restriction $H_c^j(\mathfrak{S}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \to H_c^j(\mathfrak{S}_*, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ is an isomorphism on the part where we have $\sum_{\lambda \in A'} \lambda = 0$. Since $\mathfrak{S}_*$ is an affine line bundle over $\mathfrak{S}_{00}^{\mathbb{F}_q^\times} \times \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, we acquire an isomorphism

$$H_c^2(\mathfrak{S}_*, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \simeq H^0(\mathfrak{S}_{00}^{\mathbb{F}_q^\times} \times \mathbb{F}_{q^2}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l). \tag{3.14}$$

Note that we have dim $H_c^2(\mathfrak{S}_*, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)^{\sum_{\lambda \in A'} \lambda = 0} = q^2(q-1)^2(q^2-1)$.

In the following, we will prove that the étale cohomology group $H_c^2(\tilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ contains all cuspidal representations of G_2^F each one with multiplicity 2 in Corollary 3.2. More precisely, the part $H_c^2(\tilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)^{\sum_{\lambda \in A'} \lambda = 0} = H_c^2(\mathfrak{S}_*, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)^{\sum_{\lambda \in A'} \lambda = 0}$ is the direct sum of all cuspidal representations each with multiplicity 2 similarly as in [Lus, subsection 3.4]. The part $H_c^2(\tilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)_w$ is a cuspidal representation if $w|_{A'} \neq 1$, which we will give a proof in Proposition 3.1 later. Note that $w \in \Gamma^\vee$ such that $w|_{A'} \neq 1$ is a strongly primitive character in Definition 2.2.

To describe $H_c^2(\tilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)^{\sum_{\lambda \in A'} \lambda = 0}$, we define a $G_2^F \times \Gamma$-representation ρ_{DL}. We consider $\mathbb{F}_q^\vee$ as a subgroup of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\vee$ by $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}/\mathbb{F}_q}^\vee$. For a character $\psi \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\vee$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, we write $\psi_a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\vee$ for the character $x \mapsto \psi(ax)$. We set as follows

$$V_\rho := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathfrak{S}_{00}^{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} \bigoplus_{\psi \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\vee \backslash \mathbb{F}_q^\vee} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l e_{i,\psi}. \tag{3.15}$$

Then, clearly we have dim $V_\rho = q^2(q-1)^2(q^2-1)$. We define an action of $G_2^F \times \Gamma$ on the space V_ρ. Let $g = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 + a_1\pi & b_0 + b_1\pi \\ c_0 + c_1\pi & d_0 + d_1\pi \end{pmatrix} \in G_2^F$. Let $i = (x_0, y_0) \in \mathfrak{S}_{00}^{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$. We set $i\bar{g} := (a_0x_0 + c_0y_0, b_0x_0 + d_0y_0) \in \mathfrak{S}_{00}^{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$. Recall $f(i,g)$ in (3.10). Then, we define a G_2^F-action as follows

$$G_2^F \ni g : \ e_{i,\psi} \mapsto \psi_{\det(\bar{g})}(f(i, g^{-1})) e_{i\bar{g}^{-1}, \psi_{\det(\bar{g})}}. \tag{3.16}$$

Note that the G_2^F-action (3.16) on V_ρ is a left action. Let $t = a_0 + a_1\pi \in \Gamma$. We set $\bar{t}^{-1}i := (a_0x_0, a_0y_0) \in \mathfrak{S}_{00}^{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$. Then, we define a Γ-action as follows

$$\Gamma \ni t : \ e_{i,\psi} \mapsto \psi(-(a_1/a_0)\xi) e_{\bar{t}^{-1}i, \psi_{a_0^{-(q+1)}}}. \tag{3.17}$$

We denote this representation by ρ_{DL}. Let $\Gamma_{\mathrm{stp}}^\vee \subset \Gamma^\vee$ be the subset of strongly primitive characters in Definition 2.2. Then, by (3.11), (3.12) and (3.14), we acquire an isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{w \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{stp}}^\vee} (H_c^2(\tilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)_w \otimes w) = H_c^2(\tilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)^{\sum_{\lambda \in A'} \lambda = 0} \simeq \rho_{\mathrm{DL}} \tag{3.18}$$

as a $G_2^F \times \Gamma$-representation.

In the following, we give a more concrete description of the part $H_c^2(\tilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)_w$ for each $w \in \Gamma^\vee_{\mathrm{stp}}$. To do so, for each $w \in \Gamma^\vee_{\mathrm{stp}}$, we will define a cuspidal representation π_w as in [AOPS, 5.2]. Now, we fix an element $\zeta_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \backslash \mathbb{F}_q$ and an embedding

$$\iota_{\zeta_0} : \Gamma = (\mathcal{O}_E/\pi^2)^\times \hookrightarrow G_2^F \tag{3.19}$$

$$a + b\zeta_0 \mapsto a1_2 + b\begin{pmatrix} \zeta_0^q + \zeta_0 & 1 \\ -\zeta_0^{q+1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $a, b \in (\mathcal{O}_F/\pi^2)$. We identify $\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times \times \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ by $a_0 + a_1\pi \mapsto (a_0, (a_1/a_0))$. For a character $\psi \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\vee \backslash \mathbb{F}_q^\vee$ and an element $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \backslash \mathbb{F}_q$, we define a character ψ_ζ of N by the following

$$\psi_\zeta : N \ni \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \pi a_1 & \pi b_1 \\ \pi c_1 & 1 + \pi d_1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \psi\Bigl(-\frac{a_1\zeta + c_1 - \zeta^q(b_1\zeta + d_1)}{\zeta^q - \zeta}\Bigr).$$

Then, by (3.19), the restriction of $\psi_{\zeta_0} \in N^\vee$ to a subgroup $\mathbb{F}_{q^2} = \Gamma \cap N \subset N$ is equal to ψ. For a strongly primitive character $w = (\chi, \psi) \in \Gamma^\vee \simeq (\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times)^\vee \times \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\vee$ i.e. $\psi \notin \mathbb{F}_q^\vee$, we define a character w of $\Gamma N = \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times N$ by

$$w(xu) = \chi(x)\psi_{\zeta_0}(u) \tag{3.20}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$ and $u \in N$. We set

$$\pi_w := \mathrm{Ind}_{\Gamma N}^{G_2^F}(w).$$

Then, π_w is a cuspidal representation of G_2^F by Definition 2.1. Recall that we have dim $\pi_w = q(q-1)$. See [AOPS, subsection 5.2] for more details.

Again, we consider the $G_2^F \times \Gamma$ -representation ρ_{DL}. In the following, we give a decomposition of V_ρ to irreducible omponents. To do so, we define several subspaces $\{W_w\}_{w \in \Gamma^\vee_{\mathrm{stp}}}$ of V_ρ, and prove $W_w \simeq \pi_w^\vee \times w$ as a $G_2^F \times \Gamma$ -representation. We choose $w \in \Gamma^\vee_{\mathrm{stp}}$ and write $w = (\chi, \psi)$ with $\chi \in (\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times)^\vee$ and $\psi \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\vee \backslash \mathbb{F}_q^\vee$. We fix an element $y_0 \in \mathbf{F}$ such that $y_0^{q^2-1} = -1$. For each $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \backslash \mathbb{F}_q$, we define a vector of V_ρ in (3.15)

$$e_\zeta^w := \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}} \chi^{-1}(\mu) e_{(\zeta\mu y_0, \mu y_0), \psi_{-((\mu y_0)^{q+1}(\zeta^q - \zeta))^{-1}}} \in V_\rho$$

and set $W_w^\zeta := \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l e_\zeta^w \subset V_\rho$. Furthermore, we put

$$W_w := \bigoplus_{\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \backslash \mathbb{F}_q} W_w^\zeta \subset V_\rho.$$

We can easily check the following isomorphism

$$V_\rho \simeq \bigoplus_{w \in \Gamma^\vee_{\mathrm{stp}}} W_w \tag{3.21}$$

as a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ -vector space. For an element $g = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 + a_1\pi & b_0 + b_1\pi \\ c_0 + c_1\pi & d_0 + d_1\pi \end{pmatrix} \in G_2^F$, we obtain the following by (3.16)

$$g^{-1} e_\zeta^w = \chi(b_0\zeta + d_0)\psi_{\det(\bar{g})^{-1}}\Bigl(-\frac{f((\zeta\mu y_0, \mu y_0), g)}{(\mu y_0)^{q+1}(\zeta^q - \zeta)}\Bigr) e^w_{\frac{a_0\zeta + c_0}{b_0\zeta + d_0}}. \tag{3.22}$$

Note that $f((\zeta\mu y_0, \mu y_0), g)/(\mu y_0)^{q+1}(\zeta^q - \zeta)$ is independent of μ. Hence, in particular, we obtain $g e_\zeta^w = \psi_\zeta^{-1}(g) e_\zeta^w$ for $g \in N$ and $t e_{\zeta_0}^w = \chi^{-1}(t) e_{\zeta_0}^w$ for $t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times \subset \Gamma \subset G_2^F$. On the other hand, by (3.17), we can easily check $te^w_\zeta = w(t)e^w_\zeta$ for $t \in \Gamma$. Hence, by (3.22), W_w is a $G^F_2 \times \Gamma$-representation. By (3.22), the stabilizer of the subspace $W^{\zeta_0}_w$ in G^F_2 is equal to ΓN. Moreover, we acquire $W^{\zeta_0}_w \simeq w^{-1}$ as a ΓN-representation. Since G^F_2 permutes the subspaces $\{W^\zeta_w\}_{\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q}$ transitively again by (3.22), we obtain $W_w \simeq \pi^\vee_w \otimes w$ as a $G^F_2 \times \Gamma$-representation.

Now, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Let the notation be as above. Then, we have the followings ;*
1. The following isomorphism as a G^F_2-representation holds

$$H^1_c(\tilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)_w \simeq \pi^\vee_w.$$

2. We have the following isomorphism

$$\rho_{\mathrm{DL}} \simeq \bigoplus_{w \in \Gamma^\vee_{\mathrm{stp}}} (\pi^\vee_w \otimes w)$$

as a $G^F_2 \times \Gamma$-representation.

Proof. The required assertion 2 follows from (3.21) and the isomorphism $W_w \simeq \pi^\vee_w \otimes w$ as a $G^F_2 \times \Gamma$-representation. Therefore, we conclude that $H^1_c(\tilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)_w \simeq \pi^\vee_w$ by (3.18). Hence, the assertion 1 is proved. □

As a direct consequence of Proposition 3.1, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. *The representation ρ_{DL} contains all cuspidal representations each with multiplicity* 2.

Proof. Let $\tau \neq 1 \in \mathrm{Gal}(E/F)$. Then, we have $\pi_w \simeq \pi_{w^\tau}$ as a G^F_2-representation as mentioned in Remark 2.3.3. Hence, the required assertion follows from Proposition 3.1.2. □

We call ρ_{DL} *the unramified Lusztig represenation for G^F_2.*

Remark 3.3. We study the part of $H^j_c(\tilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ where A' acts trivially. Clearly, we have $H^j_c(\tilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)^{A'=1} \simeq H^j_c(A' \backslash \mathfrak{S}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ for any j. By (3.8), $\mathfrak{S}$ is identified with $\{(x_0, y_0, s_0, s_1) \in \mathfrak{S}_0 \times \mathbf{F}^2 \mid s^q_0 + s_0 + s^q_1 t_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$. Then, $A' \ni 1 + a_1\pi$ acts on $\mathfrak{S}$ by $(x_0, y_0, s_0, s_1) \mapsto (x_0, y_0, s_0 + a_1, s_1)$. Hence, the quotient $A' \backslash \mathfrak{S}$ is isomorphic to a disjoint union of q copies of $\mathfrak{S}_0 \times \mathbb{A}^1 \ni ((x_0, y_0), s_1)$. We denote by d_2 the composite $G^F_2 \overset{\det}{\to} (\mathcal{O}_F/\pi^2)^\times \simeq \mathbb{F}^\times_q \times \mathbb{F}_q \overset{\mathrm{pr}_2}{\to} \mathbb{F}_q$. We write t_2 for the composite $\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{F}^\times_{q^2} \times \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \overset{\mathrm{pr}_2}{\to} \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tr}} \mathbb{F}_q$. Then, on the set $\mathbb{F}_q \ni i$ of connected components of $A' \backslash \mathfrak{S}$, $G^F_2 \times \Gamma \ni (g, t)$ acts by $i \mapsto d_2(g)t^{-1}_2(t)i$. We put $M^j := H^j_c(\mathfrak{S}_0, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$. On M^j, the group $G^F_2 \times \Gamma$ acts by factoring through $G^F_2 \times \Gamma \to G^F_1 \times \mathbb{F}^\times_{q^2}$. Recall that the group $G^F_1 \times \mathbb{F}^\times_{q^2} \ni \left(g = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & b_0 \\ c_0 & d_0 \end{pmatrix}, \zeta\right)$ acts on $\mathfrak{S}_0 \ni (x_0, y_0)$ by $g : (x_0, y_0) \mapsto (a_0x_0 + c_0y_0, b_0x_0 + d_0y_0)$ and $\zeta : (x_0, y_0) \mapsto (\zeta^{-1}x_0, \zeta^{-1}y_0)$. Then, we obtain an isomorphism $H^j_c(A' \backslash \mathfrak{S}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \simeq \bigoplus_{\chi \in \mathbb{F}^\vee_q} (M^{j-2} \otimes \chi \circ d_2 \otimes \chi^{-1} \circ t_2)$ as a $G^F_2 \times \Gamma$ -representation. Hence, in particular, on the part $H^3_c(\tilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)^{A'=1}$, the classical Deligne-Lusztig correspondence for G^F_1 realizes.

Remark 3.4. Let $S := \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F})$ and $N_0 := \mathrm{Ker}\ (S^F_2 \to S^F_1)$. Clearly, we have an isomorphism $N_0 \simeq \{g \in \mathrm{M}_2(\mathbb{F}_q) \mid \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{M}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)/\mathbb{F}_q}(g) = 0\}$ as a group, and hence N_0 is an abelian group of order q^3. As in [Lus, 3.2], we consider the Lusztig surface $\tilde{X}_0 := \{g \in S_2 \mid gF(g)^{-1} \in U_2v\}$ for S^F_2. More explicitly, $\tilde{X}_0$ is isomorphic to the following

$$\mathfrak{S}^0 := \{(x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1) \in \mathbf{F}^4 \mid x_0y^q_0 - x^q_0y_0 = 1,\ x_1y^q_0 + x_0y^q_1 - x^q_0y_1 - x^q_1y_0 = 0\}$$

as in (3.4). In this case, the torus Γ is replaced by $\Gamma_0 := \{(a_0, a_1) \in \mathbf{F}^2 \mid a_0^{q+1} = 1,\ a_0^q a_1 + a_0 a_1^q = 0\}$ of order $q(q+1)$ as in [Lus, 3.2]. We put $\mathcal{I} := \mathrm{Ker}\ (\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}/\mathbb{F}_q} : \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \to \mathbb{F}_q)$. Then, obviously, we have isomorphisms $\Gamma_0 \simeq \mathrm{Ker}\ (\mathrm{Nr}_{E/F} : \Gamma \to (\mathcal{O}_F/\pi^2)^\times)$ and $A' \simeq \mathcal{I}$ as an abelian group. Furthermore, Γ_0 contains A' as a subgroup. For a character $w \in \Gamma_0^\vee$, we say that w is *primitive* if $w|_{A'} \neq 1$. Let $\Gamma_{0,\mathrm{p}}^\vee \subset \Gamma_0^\vee$ be the subset of primitive characters. Obviously, we have a natural surjection $\Gamma_{\mathrm{stp}}^\vee \to \Gamma_{0,\mathrm{p}}^\vee$. Then, the variety $\tilde{X}_0$ admits an action of $S_2^F \times \Gamma_0$ and the étale cohomology group $H_c^2(\tilde{X}_0, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ does so. Let ρ_{DL}^0 denote the S_2^F-representation $H_c^2(\tilde{X}_0, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)^{\sum_{\lambda \in A'} \lambda = 0}$. Note that we have dim $\rho_{\mathrm{DL}}^0 = q(q-1)(q^2-1)$. The restriction of ι_{ζ_0} in (3.19) to a subgroup Γ_0 induces an embedding $\Gamma_0 \hookrightarrow S_2^F$ by $\det \circ \iota_{\zeta_0} = \mathrm{Nr}_{E/F}$. Any character $w \in \Gamma_{0,\mathrm{p}}^\vee$ extends to the character of $\Gamma_0 N_0$ uniquely as in (3.20), which we denote by the same letter w. Then, we define $\pi_w^0 := \mathrm{Ind}_{\Gamma_0 N_0}^{S_2^F}(w)$. This representation is also called a cuspidal representation of S_2^F in [Sta, 3.1] and all cuspidal representations arise in this way. See also [Sha, 4.2]. In [Sha, p.37], a cuspidal representation of S_2^F is called an *unramified* representation. All cuspidal representations have degree $q(q-1)$ and the number of them is $(q^2-1)/2$ by loc. cit. Similarly as in Proposition 3.1, we have the following isomorphism

$$\rho_{\mathrm{DL}}^0 \simeq \bigoplus_{w \in \Gamma_{0,\mathrm{p}}^\vee} ({\pi_w^0}^\vee \otimes w).$$

Hence, as proved in [Lus, 3.4], the representation ρ_{DL}^0 contains all cuspidal representations of S_2^F each with multiplicity 2.

4 Review of [IMT, 7.2]

In this section, we recall the results in [IMT, 7.2] and prove Proposition 4.1 by using the results in the previous section. Let $\mathfrak{S}_{00}^{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$ be as in the previous section. For $i = (x_0, y_0) \in \mathfrak{S}_{00}^{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$, we set $\xi := x_0^q y_0 - x_0 y_0^q$. Then for each $i \in \mathfrak{S}_{00}^{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$, let X_i denote the smooth compactification of the following affine curve $X^{q^2} - X = \xi(Y^{q(q+1)} - Y^{q+1})$. Then, X_i has q connected components and each component has genus $q(q-1)/2$. In loc. cit., we prove that the components X_i for $i \in \mathfrak{S}_{00}^{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$ apppear in the stable reduction of the Lubin-Tate curve $\mathcal{X}(\pi^2)$. Furthermore, in loc. cit., we analyze the following étale cohomology group

$$W := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathfrak{S}_{00}^{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} H^1(X_i, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l). \tag{4.1}$$

Then, W has a left action of $\mathbf{G} := G_2^F \times \mathcal{O}_3^\times \times I_F$. We consider Γ as a subgroup of $\mathcal{O}_3^\times$. We will observe that the restriction of W to a subgroup $G_2^F \times \Gamma \times \{1\} \subset \mathbf{G}$ is related to the unramified Lusztig reprensentation ρ_{DL} computed in the previous section. The restriction $W|_{G_2^F \times \{1\} \times I_F}$ is also written with respect to ρ_{DL}. See Proposition 4.1 for precise statements.

As in the previous section, we assume (3.1). Since we have $|\mathfrak{S}_{00}^{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}| = |G_1^F| = q(q^2-1)(q-1)$ and dim $H^1(X_i, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) = q^2(q-1)$ for each i, we have dim $W = q^3(q-1)^2(q^2-1)$. In the following, we write down the right action of $\mathbf{G}$ on the components $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathfrak{S}_{00}^{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}}$ given in loc. cit. This action induces the *left* $\mathbf{G}$-action on W as mentioned above. First, we recall the action of G_2^F. Let $g = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 + a_1\pi & b_0 + b_1\pi \\ c_0 + c_1\pi & d_0 + d_1\pi \end{pmatrix} \in G_2^F$. Then, g acts on $\mathfrak{S}_{00}^{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$ as follows, factoring through G_1^F,

$$g :\ i := (x_0, y_0) \mapsto i\bar{g} := (a_0 x_0 + c_0 y_0, b_0 x_0 + d_0 y_0). \tag{4.2}$$

Furthermore, g induces the following morphism

$$g : X_i \to X_{i\bar{g}} \ ; \ (X, Y) \mapsto (\det(\bar{g})X + f(i, g), Y) \tag{4.3}$$

where $f(i, g)$ is defined in (3.10). Compare (4.3) with (3.11). Secondly, we recall the action of $\mathcal{O}_3^{\times}$. Let $b = a_0 + \varphi b_0 + \pi a_1 \in \mathcal{O}_3^{\times}$ with $a_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^{\times}$ and $a_1, b_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. Then, b acts on $\mathfrak{S}_{00}^{\mathbb{F}_q^{\times}}$ as follows

$$i = (x_0, y_0) \mapsto ib := (a_0^{-1}x_0, a_0^{-1}y_0). \tag{4.4}$$

Moreover, b induces a morphism

$$X_i \to X_{ib} \ ; \ (X, Y) \mapsto \big(a_0^{-(q+1)}\big(X - (b_0a_0^{-1})\xi Y + (a_1a_0^{-1})\xi\big), a_0^{q-1}\big(Y - (b_0a_0^{-1})^q\big)\big).$$

In particular, the element $t := a_0 + \pi a_1 \in \Gamma \subset \mathcal{O}_3^{\times}$ acts as follows

$$X_i \to X_{it} \ ; \ (X, Y) \mapsto \big(a_0^{-(q+1)}\big(X + (a_1a_0^{-1})\xi\big), a_0^{q-1}Y\big). \tag{4.5}$$

Compare this action with (3.12). Thirdly, we recall the inertia action I_F. We define a homomorphism

$$\mathbf{a}_E : I_F \to I_F^{\mathrm{ab}} \simeq I_E^{\mathrm{ab}} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_E|_{I_E^{\mathrm{ab}}}} \mathcal{O}_E^{\times} \overset{\mathrm{can.}}{\to} \Gamma \simeq \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^{\times} \times \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \ ; \ \sigma \mapsto (\zeta(\sigma), \lambda(\sigma)). \tag{4.6}$$

Then, $\sigma \in I_F$ acts on $\mathfrak{S}_{00}^{\mathbb{F}_q^{\times}}$ as follows

$$(x_0, y_0) \mapsto i\sigma := (\zeta(\sigma)^{-1}x_0, \zeta(\sigma)^{-1}y_0). \tag{4.7}$$

Moreover, σ induces a morphism

$$X_i \to X_{i\sigma} \ ; \ (X, Y) \mapsto (\zeta(\sigma)^{-(q+1)}(X + \lambda(\sigma)\xi), Y). \tag{4.8}$$

The product group $\mathbb{F}_{q^2} \times \mu_{q+1} \ni (a, \zeta)$ acts on X_i with $i \in \mathfrak{S}_{00}^{\mathbb{F}_q^{\times}}$ by $(X, Y) \mapsto (X + a, \zeta Y)$. Then, we can decompose $H^1(X_i, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ to a direct sum of some characters of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2} \times \mu_{q+1}$ as follows

$$H^1(X_i, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \simeq \bigoplus_{\chi_0 \in \mu_{q+1}^{\vee} \backslash \{1\}} \ \bigoplus_{\psi \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^{\vee} \backslash \mathbb{F}_q^{\vee}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l e_{i,\psi,\chi_0}. \tag{4.9}$$

See [IMT, Corollary 7.5] for a proof of (4.9). By (4.9), we acquire the following isomorphism

$$W \simeq \bigoplus_{i \in \mathfrak{S}_{00}^{\mathbb{F}_q^{\times}}} \ \bigoplus_{\chi_0 \in \mu_{q+1}^{\vee} \backslash \{1\}} \ \bigoplus_{\psi \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^{\vee} \backslash \mathbb{F}_q^{\vee}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l e_{i,\psi,\chi_0} \tag{4.10}$$

as a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-vector space. Explicit descriptions of the **G**-action on the right hand side of (4.10), which is induced by the **G**-action on W, are given in [IMT, (7.17)-(7.19)]. Now, we write down them. We have the following G_2^F-action

$$G_2^F \ni g \ : \ e_{i,\psi,\chi_0} \mapsto \psi_{\det(\bar{g})}(f(i, g^{-1}))e_{ig^{-1},\psi_{\det(\bar{g})},\chi_0} \tag{4.11}$$

and the following action of $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{O}_3^{\times}$

$$\Gamma \ni t = a_0 + a_1\pi : \ e_{i,\psi,\chi_0} \mapsto \psi(-(a_1/a_0)\xi)\chi_0^{-1}(t)e_{it^{-1},\psi_{a_0^{-(q+1)}},\chi_0}. \tag{4.12}$$

Furthermore, we have the following I_F-action

$$I_F \ni \sigma \ : \ e_{i,\psi,\chi_0} \mapsto \psi(-\lambda(\sigma)\xi)e_{i\sigma^{-1},\psi_{\zeta(\sigma)^{-(q+1)}},\chi_0}. \tag{4.13}$$

These descriptions of the **G**-action are almost direct consequences of the **G**-action given in (4.2)-(4.8). Now, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\nu : \Gamma \to \mu_{q+1}$ be a surjective homomorphism defined by $a_0 + a_1\pi \mapsto a_0^{q-1}$. For a character $\chi_0 \in \mu_{q+1}^\vee$, we denote by the same letter χ_0 for the composite $\chi_0 \circ \nu \in \Gamma^\vee$.*
1. Then, we have the following isomorphism

$$W|_{G_2^F \times \Gamma \times \{1\}} \simeq \bigoplus_{\chi_0 \in \mu_{q+1}^\vee \setminus \{1\}} (\rho_{\mathrm{DL}} \otimes \chi_0^{-1})$$

as a $G_2^F \times \Gamma$-representation.
2. Let $\tilde{\rho}_{\mathrm{DL}}$ denote the inflation to $G_2^F \times I_F$ of the $G_2^F \times \Gamma$-representation ρ_{DL} by a map $\mathrm{id} \times \mathbf{a}_E : G_2^F \times I_F \to G_2^F \times \Gamma$. Then, we have the following isomorphism

$$W|_{G_2^F \times \{1\} \times I_F} \simeq (\tilde{\rho}_{\mathrm{DL}})^{\oplus q}$$

as a $G_2^F \times I_F$-representation.

Proof. The required assertions follow by comparing the $G_2^F \times \Gamma$-action (3.16) and (3.17) on V_ρ (3.15) with the $\mathbf{G}$-action on W given in (4.11)-(4.13). □

By Proposition 4.1, we acquire the following corollary, which is proved in [IMT, Proposition 7.6].

Corollary 4.2. *([IMT, Proposition 7.6]) We set $\mathbf{H} := G_2^F \times \Gamma \times I_F \subset \mathbf{G}$. Then, we have the following isomorphism*

$$W|_{\mathbf{H}} \simeq \bigoplus_{w \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{stp}}^\vee} \left(\pi_w^\vee \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{\chi_0 \in \mu_{q+1}^\vee \setminus \{1\}} w\chi_0^{-1} \right) \otimes w \circ \mathbf{a}_E \right)$$

as a $\mathbf{H}$-representation.

Proof. The required assertion follows from Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 4.1 immediately. □

Remark 4.3. Let the notation be as in Remark 3.4. We briefly remark that similar things to Theorem 4.1 for S_2^F hold. We set $\mathcal{O}_3^{1,\times} := \mathrm{Ker}\ (\mathrm{Nrd}_{D/F} : \mathcal{O}_3^\times \to (\mathcal{O}_F/\pi^2)^\times)$. Then, obviously, we have a natural inclusion $\Gamma_0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_3^{1,\times}$ by $\mathrm{Nrd}_{D/F}|_\Gamma = \mathrm{Nr}_{E/F}$. We write $\mathbf{a}_F$ for the composite $I_F \to I_F^{\mathrm{ab}} \overset{\mathbf{a}_F|_{I_F^{\mathrm{ab}}}}{\to} \mathcal{O}_F^\times \overset{\mathrm{can.}}{\to} (\mathcal{O}_F/\pi^2)^\times$. Then, we set $I_F^1 := \mathrm{Ker}\ (\mathbf{a}_F : I_F \to (\mathcal{O}_F/\pi^2)^\times)$. Moreover, the restriction of the map (4.6) to a subgroup I_F^1 induces a surjection $\mathbf{a}_E^0 : I_F^1 \to \Gamma_0$ by $\mathbf{a}_F = \mathrm{Nr}_{E/F} \circ \mathbf{a}_E$. Let $\mathfrak{S}_{00} := \{(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbf{F}^2 \mid x_0 y_0^q - x_0^q y_0 = 1,\ x_0^{q^2-1} = y_0^{q^2-1} = -1\}$. Then, we have $|\mathfrak{S}_{00}| = |S_1^F| = q(q^2-1)$. For each $j \in \mathfrak{S}_{00}$, let X_j be the smooth compactification of an affine curve $X^q + X + Y^{q+1} = 0$ with genus $q(q-1)/2$. Then, a product group $\mathcal{I} \times \mu_{q+1} \ni (a, \zeta)$ acts on X_i by $(X, Y) \mapsto (X + a, \zeta Y)$. Then, we consider

$$W_0 := \bigoplus_{j \in \mathfrak{S}_{00}} H_c^1(X_j, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \simeq \bigoplus_{j \in \mathfrak{S}_{00}} \bigoplus_{\chi_0 \in \mu_{q+1}^\vee \setminus \{1\}} \bigoplus_{\psi \in \mathcal{I}^\vee \setminus \{0\}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l e_{j,\psi,\chi_0}$$

where the second isomorphism follows from [IMT, Lemma 7.4]. Note that we have $\dim W_0 = q^2(q-1)(q^2-1)$. As mentioned in section 3, $f(i,g)$ in (3.10) is contained in $\mathcal{I}$ if $g \in S_2^F$. Similarly, for $\sigma \in I_F^1$, we have $\zeta(\sigma)^{q+1} = 1$ and $\lambda(\sigma) \in \mathcal{I}$. For $b = a_0 + \varphi b_0 + a_1\pi \in \mathcal{O}_3^{1.\times}$, we have $a_0^{q+1} = 1$ and $(b_0/a_0)^{q+1} = (a_1/a_0)^q + (a_1/a_0)$. Hence, a product group $\mathbf{G}_0 := S_2^F \times \mathcal{O}_3^{1,\times} \times I_F^1$ acts on the components $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathfrak{S}_{00}}$ in the same way as (4.2)-(4.8). Therefore, $\mathbf{G}_0$ also acts on W_0 in the same way as (4.11)-(4.13). Then, for W_0, the same statements as in Theorem 4.1 hold by replacing ρ_{DL} by ρ_{DL}^0. Namely, we have the following isomorphism $W_0|_{S_2^F \times \Gamma_0 \times \{1\}} \simeq \bigoplus_{\chi_0 \in \mu_{q+1}^\vee \setminus \{1\}} (\rho_{\mathrm{DL}}^0 \otimes$

$\chi_0^{-1})$ as a $S_2^F \times \Gamma_0$ -representation. Let $\tilde{\rho}^0_{\mathrm{DL}}$ denote the inflation of ρ^0_{DL} to $S_2^F \times I_F^1$ by the map $\mathrm{id} \times \mathbf{a}_E^0 : S_2^F \times I_F^1 \to S_2^F \times \Gamma_0$. Then, we have an isomorphism $W_0|_{S_2^F \times \{1\} \times I_F^1} \simeq (\tilde{\rho}^0_{\mathrm{DL}})^{\oplus q}$ as a $S_2^F \times I_F^1$-representation. Let $\mathbf{H}_0 := S_2^F \times \Gamma_0 \times I_F^1 \subset \mathbf{G}_0$. Then, similarly as Corollary 4.2, we acquire an isomorphism $W_0|_{\mathbf{H}_0} \simeq \bigoplus_{w \in \Gamma_{0,\mathrm{p}}^\vee} \big(\pi_w^{0\,\vee} \otimes \big(\bigoplus_{\chi \in \mu_{q+1}^\vee \backslash \{1\}} w\chi_0^{-1}\big) \otimes w \circ \mathbf{a}_E^0\big)$ as a $\mathbf{H}_0$-representation.

5 Main theorem and its proof

In this section, we write down the Lusztig curve X_D for $\mathcal{O}_3^\times$. The Lusztig curve X_D has an $\mathcal{O}_3^\times \times \Gamma$-action. We study the cohomology group $H_c^1(X_D, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ as a $\mathcal{O}_3^\times \times \Gamma$-representation in Lemma 5.1. We consider the product $\mathbf{X} := \tilde{X} \times X_D$ with an action of $G_2^F \times \mathcal{O}_3^\times \times \Gamma$. The étale cohomology group $H_c^3(\mathbf{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ is considered as a $\mathbf{G}$-representation via the map $\mathrm{id} \times \mathrm{id} \times \mathbf{a}_E : \mathbf{G} \to G_2^F \times \mathcal{O}_3^\times \times \Gamma$. Then, in Theorem 5.2, we show that W is contained in the étale cohomology group $H_c^3(\mathbf{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ as a $\mathbf{G}$-subrepresentation.

As a direct consequence of Corollary 4.2, we prove that the following isomorphism holds

$$W \simeq \bigoplus_{w \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{stp}}^\vee} (\pi_w^\vee \otimes \rho_w \otimes w \circ \mathbf{a}_E)$$

as a $\mathbf{G}$-representation in [IMT, Corollary 7.7]. Here, ρ_w with $w = (\chi, \psi) \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{stp}}^\vee \simeq (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^\vee \times (\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\vee \backslash \mathbb{F}_q^\vee)$ is an irreducible representation of $\mathcal{O}_3^\times$ of degree q, which is uniquely characterized by the followings $\rho_w|_U = \psi^{\oplus q}$, $\mathrm{Tr}\ \rho_w(\zeta) = -\chi(\zeta)$ for $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \backslash \mathbb{F}_q$, where we set $U := U_D^2/U_D^3 \simeq \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \subset \mathcal{O}_3^\times$. See [IMT, Corollary 7.4] and [BH, Lemma 16.2] for more details on ρ_w.

In the following, we recall the Lusztig curve for $\mathcal{O}_3^\times$ similarly as in [Lus2, Section 2]. Let $\varphi' := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \pi & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in G_2^F$. We define a morphism $F' : G_2 \to G_2$ by $F'(g) = \varphi' F(g) \varphi'^{-1}$. We define as follows

$$X_D := \{g \in G_2 \mid F'(g) g^{-1} \in U_2\},$$

which we call the Lusztig curve for $\mathcal{O}_3^\times$. The condition $F'(g)g^{-1} \in U_2$ is equivalent to $g = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} & y \\ \pi F(y) & F(\mathbf{x}) \end{pmatrix}$ with $\mathbf{x} \in A, y \in \mathbf{F}$ and $\det(g) \in (\mathcal{O}_F/\pi^2)^\times$. The fixed part $G_2^{F'}$ is equal to the following $\{[\mathbf{a}, b_0] := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a} & F(b_0) \\ \pi b_0 & F(\mathbf{a}) \end{pmatrix} \mid \mathbf{a} \in (\mathbb{F}_{q^2}[[\pi]]/\pi^2)^\times, b_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}\}$. Hence, we fix the following isomorphism $G_2^{F'} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_3^\times$; $[\mathbf{a}, b_0] \mapsto \mathbf{a} + \varphi b_0$. Then, the group $G_2^{F'} \times \Gamma \ni (b, t)$ acts on $X_D \ni g$ by $g \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & F(t) \end{pmatrix}^{-1} gb$ on the right. We set as follows

$$\mathfrak{S}_D := \{\mathbf{x} \in (\mathcal{O}_3 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}} \mathbf{F})^\times \mid \mathrm{Nrd}_{D/F}(\mathbf{x}) \in (\mathcal{O}_F/\pi^2)^\times\}.$$

The group $\mathcal{O}_3^\times \times \Gamma \ni (b, t)$ acts on $\mathfrak{S}_D$ by $\mathbf{x} \mapsto t^{-1}\mathbf{x}b$. We acquire an isomorphism $\mathfrak{S}_D \xrightarrow{\sim} X_D$; $x_0 + y_0\varphi + x_1\pi \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x_0 + \pi x_1 & y_0 \\ \pi F(y_0) & F(x_0 + \pi x_1) \end{pmatrix}$, which is compatible with the $\mathcal{O}_3^\times \times \Gamma$-actions. Let $\mathbf{x} = x_0 + y_0\varphi + x_1\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_D$. Then, by $\mathrm{Nrd}_{D/F}(\mathbf{x}) \in (\mathcal{O}_F/\pi^2)^\times$, we acquire $x_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$ and $x_0^q x_1 + x_0 x_1^q - y_0^{q+1} \in \mathbb{F}_q$. We set $\xi := x_0^{q+1} \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. By changing variables as follows $X = x_0^q x_1$ and $Y = y_0/x_0$, we obtain $X^q + X - \xi Y^{q+1} \in \mathbb{F}_q$. For each $x_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$, let X_{x_0} denote the affine curve $X^{q^2} - X = x_0^{q+1}(Y^{q(q+1)} - Y^{q+1})$. Then, X_D is isomorphic to a disjoint union of $q^2 - 1$ affine curves $\{X_{x_0}\}_{x_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times}$. Furthermore, by a direct computation, $b = a_0 + \varphi b_0 + \pi a_1 \in \mathcal{O}_3^\times$ induces the following morphism

$$X_{x_0} \to X_{a_0 x_0} \ : \ (X, Y) \mapsto \big(a_0^{q+1}\big(X + (b_0/a_0)\xi Y + (a_1/a_0)\xi\big), a_0^{q-1}(Y + (b_0/a_0)^q)\big). \qquad (5.1)$$

On the other hand, $\Gamma \ni t = a_0 + a_1\pi$ induces the following map

$$X_{x_0} \to X_{a_0^{-1}x_0} \;:\; (X, Y) \mapsto (a_0^{-(q+1)}(X - (a_1/a_0)\xi), Y). \tag{5.2}$$

Lemma 5.1. *Let the notation be as above. Then, we have the following isomorphism* $H_c^1(X_D, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \simeq \bigoplus_{w \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{stp}}^\vee}(\rho_w \otimes w^{-1})$ *as a* $\mathcal{O}_3^\times \times \Gamma$*-representation.*

Proof. Similarly as in section 3, we prove the following isomorphism by using (4.9)

$$H_c^1(X_D, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)|_{\Gamma\times\Gamma} \simeq \bigoplus_{w \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{stp}}^\vee}\Bigg(\Big(\bigoplus_{\chi_0 \in \mu_{q+1}^\vee\backslash\{1\}} w\chi_0\Big) \otimes w^{-1}\Bigg)$$

as a $\Gamma \times \Gamma$-representation. Thereby, for $w = (\chi, \psi) \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{stp}}^\vee$, $\rho^w := H_c^1(X_D, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)_{w^{-1}}$ satisfies $\rho^w|_U = \psi^{\oplus q}$ and Tr $\rho^w(\zeta) = -\chi(\zeta)$ for $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}\backslash\mathbb{F}_q$. Hence, we acquire $\rho^w \simeq \rho_w$. ☐

Let $\tilde{X}$ be as in section 3. Now, we consider the fiber product $\mathbf{X} := \tilde{X} \times X_D$. On this variety, $G_2^F \times \mathcal{O}_3^\times \times \Gamma \times \Gamma$ acts. We restrict the $\Gamma\times\Gamma$-action on $\mathbf{X}$ to a subgroup $\Gamma \hookrightarrow \Gamma \times \Gamma : t \mapsto (t, t^{-1})$. Then, the product $G_2^F \times \mathcal{O}_3^\times \times \Gamma$ acts on the variety $\mathbf{X}$. Hence, let $\mathbf{G}$ act on $\mathbf{X}$ according to $\mathrm{id} \times \mathrm{id} \times \mathbf{a}_E : \mathbf{G} \to G_2^F \times \mathcal{O}_3^\times \times \Gamma$. Now, we consider $H_c^3(\mathbf{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ as a $\mathbf{G}$-representation. For each $w \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{stp}}^\vee$, let $H_c^3(\mathbf{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)_w$ be the subspace of $H_c^3(\mathbf{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ on which I_F acts according to $w \circ \mathbf{a}_E$.

Theorem 5.2. *Let the notation be as above. Then, we have the followings:*
1. For each $w \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{stp}}^\vee$*, the following isomorphism holds* $H_c^3(\mathbf{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)_w \simeq \pi_w^\vee \otimes \rho_w \otimes w \circ \mathbf{a}_E$ *as a* $\mathbf{G}$*-representation.*
2. We have the following isomorphism

$$W \simeq \bigoplus_{w \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{stp}}^\vee} H_c^3(\mathbf{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)_w$$

as a $\mathbf{G}$*-representation.*

Proof. The assertion 2 follows from 1 immediately. We prove the assertion 1. Since $\mathfrak{S}_*$ is an affine line bundle over a finite set, we obtain $H_c^1(\tilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)^{\sum_{\lambda \in A'}\lambda = 0} \simeq H_c^1(\mathfrak{S}_*, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)^{\sum_{\lambda\in A'}\lambda=0} = 0$. On the other hand, we have $H_c^1(\tilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)^{A'=1} = 0$ by Remark 3.3. Hence, we acquire $H_c^1(\tilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) = 0$. Therefore, the following holds $H_c^3(\mathbf{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \simeq H_c^2(\tilde{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \otimes H_c^1(X_D, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ by the Kunneth formula. Hence, for each $w \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{stp}}^\vee$, the part $H_c^3(\mathbf{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)_w$ is isomorphic to $\pi_w^\vee \otimes \rho_w$ as a $G_2^F \times \mathcal{O}_3^\times$-representation by Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 5.1. Therefore, the required assertion follows. ☐

Remark 5.3. Let the notation be as in Remark 4.3. Let $X_{0,D} := \{g \in S_2 \mid F'(g)g^{-1} \in U_2\}$. This is the Lusztig curve for $\mathcal{O}_3^{1,\times}$, which is computed in [Lus2, Section 2]. Then, $X_{0,D}$ is isomorphic to a disjoint union of $q+1$ copies of an affine curve $X^q + X = Y^{q+1}$, for which we write $\{X_{x_0}\}_{x_0 \in \mu_{q+1}}$. The group $\mathcal{O}_3^{1,\times} \times \Gamma_0$ acts on $\{X_{x_0}\}_{x_0 \in \mu_{q+1}}$ in the same way as (5.1) and (5.2). We set $U_0 := U \cap \mathcal{O}_3^{1,\times} \simeq \mathcal{I}$. Then, as in Lemma 5.1, we acquire $H_c^1(X_{0,D}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \simeq \bigoplus_{w \in \Gamma_{0,\mathrm{p}}^\vee}(\rho_w^0 \otimes w^{-1})$ as a $\mathcal{O}_3^{1,\times} \times \Gamma_0$-representation. Here, for $w = (\chi, \psi) \in \Gamma_{0,\mathrm{p}}^\vee \simeq \mu_{q+1}^\vee \times (\mathcal{I}^\vee\backslash\{0\})$, ρ_w^0 is an irreducible representation of $\mathcal{O}_3^{1,\times}$ of degree q such that $\rho_w^0|_{U_0} = \psi^{\oplus q}$ and Tr $\rho_w^0(\zeta) = -\chi(\zeta)$ for $\zeta \in \mu_{q+1}\backslash\{1\}$. We consider $\mathbf{X}_0 := \tilde{X}_0 \times X_{0,D}$ with a right $S_2^F \times \mathcal{O}_3^{1,\times} \times \Gamma_0 \times \Gamma_0$. We restrict the $\Gamma_0 \times \Gamma_0$-action on $\mathbf{X}_0$ to a subgroup $\Gamma_0 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_0 \times \Gamma_0 : t \mapsto (t, t^{-1})$. By a surjective map $\mathrm{id} \times \mathrm{id} \times \mathbf{a}_E^0 : \mathbf{G}_0 \to S_2^F \times \mathcal{O}_3^{1,\times} \times \Gamma_0$, $H_c^3(\mathbf{X}_0, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ is considered as a $\mathbf{G}_0$-representation. Then, similarly as Theorem 5.2, we have the following isomorphisms $H_c^3(\mathbf{X}_0, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)_w \simeq {\pi_w^0}^\vee \otimes \rho_w^0 \otimes w \circ \mathbf{a}_E^0$ for each $w \in \Gamma_{0,\mathrm{p}}^\vee$ and $W_0 \simeq \bigoplus_{w \in \Gamma_{0,\mathrm{p}}^\vee} H_c^3(\mathbf{X}_0, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)_w$ as $\mathbf{G}_0$-representations.

References

[AOPS] A.-M. Aubert, U. Onn, A. Prasad and A. Stasinski, *On cuspidal representations of general linear groups over discrete valuation rings*, Israel Journal of Math. **175** (2010), 391-420.

[BH] Colin J. Bushnell and Guy Henniart, *The local Langlands conjecture for* GL(2), Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol 335, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.

[Ca] H. Carayol, *Non-abelian Lubin-Tate theory*, Automorphic Forms, Shimura varieties, and L-functions, Vol. II (Ann Arbor, MI, 1988), Perspect. Math., vol. **11**, Academic Press. Boston, MA, 1990, 15-39.

[DL] P. Deligne and G. Lusztig, *Representations of reductive groups over finite fields*, Ann. Math. **103** (1976), 103-161.

[IMT] T. Ito, Y. Mieda and T. Tsushima, *On the cuspidal representations of* $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ *of level* 1 *or* $1/2$ *in the cohomology of the Lubin-Tate space* $X(\pi^2)$, preprint.

[Lus] G. Lusztig, *Representations of reductive groups over finite rings*, Representation Theory **8** (2004), 1-14.

[Lus2] G. Lusztig, *Some remarks on the supercuspidal representations of p-adic semisimple groups,* in Automorphic Forms, Representations and L-functions, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1979, 171-175.

[Onn] U. Onn, *Representations of automorphism groups of finite* $\mathfrak{o}$*-modules of rank two,* Adv. Math. **219**(6) (2008), 2058-2085.

[Sha] J. A. Shalika, *Representation of the two by two unimodular group over local fields,* in: Contributions to Automorphic Forms, Geometry, and Number Theory, Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD, 2004, 1-38.

[Sta] A. Stasinski, *Extended Deligne-Lusztig varieties for general and special linear groups*, Advances in Mathematics **226** (2011), 2825-2853.

[Sta2] A. Stasinski, *The smooth representations of* $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$, Comm. Algebra **37** (2009), 4416-4430.

[Y] T. Yoshida, *On non-abelian Lubin-Tate theory via vanishing cycles,* Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics, (2010), no. **58**, 361-402.

Tetsushi Ito
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan
tetsushi@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Yoichi Mieda
Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University, 744 Motooka, Nishi-ku, Fukuoka city, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan
mieda@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

Takahiro Tsushima
Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University, 744 Motooka, Nishi-ku, Fukuoka city, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan
tsushima@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp